

A Criterion for Photoionization of Pregalactic Clouds Exposed to Diffuse Ultraviolet Background Radiation

Yukiko Tajiri¹ and Masayuki Umemura²

To appear in *The Astrophysical Journal*

ABSTRACT

To elucidate the permeation of cosmic ultraviolet (UV) background radiation into a pregalactic cloud and subsequent ionization, the frequency-dependent radiative transfer equation is solved, coupled with the ionization process, for a spherical top-hat cloud composed of pure hydrogen. The calculations properly involve scattering processes of ionizing photons which originate from radiative recombination. As a result, it is shown that the self-shielding, although it is often disregarded in cosmological hydrodynamic simulations, could start to emerge shortly after the maximum expansion stages of density fluctuations. Quantitatively, the self-shielding is prominent above a critical number density of hydrogen which is given by $n_{crit} = 1.4 \times 10^{-2} \text{cm}^{-3} (M/10^8 M_{\odot})^{-1/5} I_{21}^{3/5}$ for 10^4K gas, where M is the cloud mass and the UV background intensity is assumed to be $I_{\nu} = 10^{-21} I_{21} (\nu/\nu_L)^{-1} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{ster}^{-1} \text{Hz}^{-1}$ with ν_L being the Lyman limit frequency. The weak dependence of n_{crit} upon the mass is worth noting. The corresponding critical optical depth (τ_{crit}) turns out to be independent of either M or I_{21} , which is $\tau_{crit} = 2.4$ for 10^4K gas. The present analysis reveals that the Strömgen approximation leads to overestimation of the photoionization effects. Also, the self-shielded neutral core is no longer sharply separated from surrounding ionized regions; a low but noticeable degree of ionization is caused by high energy photons even in the self-shielded core. The present results may be substantial on considering the biasing by photoionization against low-mass galaxy formation.

Subject headings: cosmology: theory — galaxies: formation — radiative transfer

¹Institute of Physics, Tsukuba University, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan; tajiri@rccp.tsukuba.ac.jp

²Center for Computational Physics, Tsukuba University, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan; umemura@rccp.tsukuba.ac.jp

1. Introduction

Recently, a recalcitrant problem on galaxy formation has been pointed out that low-mass galaxies are overproduced as compared with observations in the context of the hierarchical bottom-up theory of galaxy formation (e.g. White & Frenk 1991; Kauffman, White, & Guideroni 1993; Cole et al. 1994). Hence, some process that inhibits the formation of low-mass galaxies is required. Photoionization has been considered as one of such mechanisms (Dekel & Rees 1987; Babul & Rees 1992; Efstathiou 1992; Chiba & Nath 1994; Thoul & Weinberg 1996; Quinn, Katz, & Efstathiou 1996). In photoionized media, the cooling efficiency is dramatically reduced in the temperature range of $10^4\text{K} < T < 10^5\text{K}$. Also, a bulk of energy could be carried into a cloud, so that the enhanced thermal pressure could suppress the gravitational collapse of a subgalactic cloud with the virial temperature lower than several 10^4K , i.e., $M \lesssim 10^9 M_\odot$ in gas mass (Umemura & Ikeuchi 1984, 1985; Ikeuchi 1986; Rees 1986; Bond, Szalay, & Silk 1988; Steinmetz 1995; Thoul & Weinberg 1996). In cosmological hydrodynamic simulations, an optically thin medium against ionizing photons has been mostly assumed so far (Umemura & Ikeuchi 1984, 1985; Thoul & Weinberg 1996; Quinn, Katz, & Efstathiou 1996). In semi-analytic approaches, naive analytic corrections for opacity effects have been made based on the optical depth criterion (Efstathiou 1992) or the Strömgren approximation (Chiba & Nath 1994). In the case of interstellar clouds, it is claimed that the Strömgren approximation could be misleading against the real effect of the penetration of diffuse UV (Flannery, Roberge, & Rybicki 1980; Maloney 1993), and therefore the radiative transfer equation should be solved properly. However, as far as we know, no study hitherto has been made on determining the ionization structure inside a pregalactic cloud by solving radiative transfer equation for diffuse UV photons. Hence, the effects of photoionization on the evolution of a pregalactic cloud have not been assessed satisfactorily. In this paper, we solve the radiative transfer of diffuse UV radiation coupled with ionization process to elucidate the self-shielding of pregalactic clouds from UV background radiation and provide a practical criterion for the self-shielding.

2. Radiative Transfer with Ionization Process

We assume for simplicity a spherical top-hat (uniform) density distribution of a cloud, and place 100 radial meshes for solving radiation transfer. Also, the cloud is assumed to be composed of pure hydrogen so that we could readily compare the results of the frequency-dependent radiative transfer with an analytic estimate, although we should keep in mind that helium of cosmic abundance could alter the ionization degree maximally by order 10% (Osterbrock 1989; Nakamoto et al. 1997).

The intensity of UV background radiation at high redshifts is inferred from so-called proximity effect of Lyman α absorption lines in QSO spectra (Bajtlik, Duncan, & Ostriker

1988; Giallongo et al. 1996). The observations require the diffuse UV radiation to be at a level of $I_{\nu_L,0} = 10^{-21 \pm 0.5}$ ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹ ster⁻¹ Hz⁻¹ at the hydrogen Lyman edge at $z = 1.7 - 4.1$. In this paper, we assume the specific intensity of UV background as $I_{\nu,0} = 10^{-21} I_{21} (\nu/\nu_L)^n$ ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹ ster⁻¹ Hz⁻¹, where we set $n = -1$ and vary I_{21} in the range of $0.1 < I_{21} < 2$. As for ionization process, we presume the ionization balance, because the ionization or recombination timescale for clouds with density of interest is much shorter than the dynamical timescale. The equation of the ionization balance is

$$\Gamma^\gamma \chi_{HI} + \Gamma^{ci} \chi_{HI} (1 - \chi_{HI}) n = \alpha_A(T) (1 - \chi_{HI})^2 n, \quad (1)$$

where χ_{HI} is the fraction of neutral hydrogen, Γ^γ is the photoionization rate, Γ^{ci} is the collisional ionization rate, n is the hydrogen number density, and $\alpha_A(T)$ is the total recombination coefficient to all bound levels of hydrogen. Γ^γ is given by

$$\Gamma^\gamma = \int_{\nu_L}^{\infty} d\nu \int_0^{4\pi} d\Omega \frac{I_\nu(r)}{h\nu} a_\nu, \quad (2)$$

with the photoionization cross section being $a_\nu = 6.3 \times 10^{-18} (\nu_L/\nu)^3$ [cm²], where the local UV intensity $I_\nu(r)$ at radius r is determined by solving transfer equation. Γ^{ci} is given by $\Gamma^{ci} = 1.2 \times 10^{-8} T_4^{1/2} e^{-15.8/T_4}$ [cm³s⁻¹] with $T_4 \equiv T/10^4$ K. We assume $T_4 = 1$ except where other values are specified. $\alpha_A(T)$ is well fit by $\alpha_A(T) = 2.1 \times 10^{-13} T_4^{-1/2} \phi(16/T_4)$ [cm³s⁻¹], where $\phi(y) = 0.5(1.7 + \ln y + 1/6y)$ for $y \geq 0.5$ or $y(-0.3 + 1.2 \ln y) + y^2(0.5 - \ln y)$ for $y < 0.5$ (Sherman 1979).

Photoionization and recombination processes can be respectively regarded as extinction and emission with respect to ionizing photons. Thus, the radiative transfer equation for ionizing photons is described as

$$\frac{dI_\nu}{ds} = -\chi_\nu I_\nu + \eta_\nu, \quad (3)$$

where χ_ν is the extinction coefficient ($\chi_\nu = a_\nu n \chi_{HI}$) and η_ν is the emissivity. If a free electron recombines directly to the ground state of hydrogen, the emitted photon has enough energy to cause further photoionization. But, when an electron is captured to an excited state of hydrogen, the emitted photon does not have enough energy to ionize hydrogen, because the kinetic energy of a free electron is of order 0.1 Ryd for $\sim 10^4$ K gas. Thus, the former process is regarded as scatterings which provides the emissivity, while the latter process is pure absorption. The effective scattering albedo is given by $\omega = [\alpha_A(T) - \alpha_B(T)]/\alpha_A(T)$, which is 0.4 at 10^4 K (e.g. Osterbrock 1989), where $\alpha_B(T)$ is the recombination coefficient to all excited levels of hydrogen. Hence, we set $\eta_\nu = 0$ for $\nu > \nu_L$, and $\eta_{\nu_L} = h\nu\omega\alpha_A n_e n_p / 4\pi\delta\nu$ for $\nu = \nu_L$ where $\delta\nu = kT/h$ and n_p is the proton number density.

Taking account of frequency-dependence of the emergent UV intensity, it is convenient to divide the photoionization rate into two parts: $\Gamma^\gamma = \Gamma_{\nu_L}^\gamma + \Gamma_{\nu > \nu_L}^\gamma$. As for Lyman limit

photons, the transfer equation is the integro-differential equation which includes a source term by scattering processes of recombination photons. Thus, the equation is numerically solved with including iterative procedure. We, without invoking on-the-spot approximation which could be misleading for large mean-free-path photons, solve the equation by means of an impact parameter method of high accuracy, which allows us to treat diffuse photons correctly (Stone, Mihalas, & Norman 1992). We deal with 156 impact parameters for light rays. Also, in order to converge the intensity, we employ the Lambda-iteration method (e.g. Mihalas & Mihalas 1984).

In the range of $\nu > \nu_L$, since photoionization is regarded as pure absorption, the UV intensity is obtained just by $I_\nu = I_{\nu,0}e^{-\tau_\nu}$, where $I_{\nu,0}$ is the boundary intensity and τ_ν is the ionization optical depth. So, $\Gamma_{\nu>\nu_L}^\gamma$ is figured out by

$$\Gamma_{\nu>\nu_L}^\gamma = \int_0^{4\pi} d\Omega \int_{\nu_L+\delta\nu}^\infty d\nu \frac{I_{\nu,0}e^{-\tau_\nu}}{h\nu} a_{\nu_L} \left(\frac{\nu_L}{\nu} \right)^3. \quad (4)$$

Considering that the optical depth is $\tau_\nu = \tau_{\nu_L}(\nu_L/\nu)^3 n\chi_{HI}$ with the Lyman limit optical depth τ_{ν_L} and that the assumed boundary intensity is $I_{\nu,0} = I_{\nu_L,0}(\nu_L/\nu)$, the above equation can be analytically integrated using the incomplete gamma function γ if χ_{HI} is given:

$$\Gamma_{\nu>\nu_L}^\gamma = \int_0^{4\pi} d\Omega \frac{a_{\nu_L} I_{\nu_L,0}}{h} \cdot \frac{\gamma(4/3, \tau_{\nu_L})}{3\tau_{\nu_L}^{4/3}}. \quad (5)$$

With use of this integration, the overall procedure to solve the transfer equation is as follows:

1. Initially, give the cloud mass M and the radius R (therefore the density), and set χ_{HI} by assuming optically thin medium. Specify the boundary UV intensity by I_{21} .
2. Solve the transfer equation at $\nu = \nu_L$ for given χ_{HI} , and calculate $\Gamma_{\nu_L}^\gamma$. Obtain $\Gamma_{\nu>\nu_L}^\gamma$ analytically by equation (5). Then, get the total photoionization rate Γ^γ .
3. Solve ionization equilibrium using above-obtained Γ^γ , and thereby renew χ_{HI} .
4. Continue steps 2 and 3 until χ_{HI} converges at a level of relative error of 10^{-6} . (Typically 100 iterations are performed.)

Note that the analytical integration (5) with respect to frequencies enables us to reduce the computational cost dramatically on solving such a frequency-dependent transfer equation including scatterings. The validity of this method is confirmed by exactly solving the transfer equation with using a number of meshes for frequencies.

3. Numerical Results

We consider the cloud mass range of $M = 10^{5-9} M_\odot$ and vary the radius in the range of $R = 0.1 - 25 \text{ kpc}$. Fig.1 shows the growth of self-shielded regions when a cloud of $10^8 M_\odot$ is contracting, embedded in the UV background of $I_{21} = 1$. The self-shielding is prominent when $R \lesssim 4 \text{ kpc}$. It is noted that the distributions of the HI fraction are a gradual function of radii even in the self-shielded stage, where we cannot recognize a clear boundary between the neutral core and the ionized envelop, and also a low but noticeable ionization is left in the self-shielded regions. Such distributions seem to be realized by the high frequency photons far above the Lyman edge which could permeate into deeper regions due to the smaller cross section for ionization. To ensure this conjecture, we solve the transfer solely for the Lyman edge photons to obtain $I_{\nu_L}(r)$ and tentatively set the form of UV radiation spectrum to be $I_\nu(r) = I_{\nu_L}(r)(\nu_L/\nu)$ at any radius (which implies that photons of higher frequencies are absorbed with the same ionization cross section as that at the Lyman edge). We can see an outstanding difference between two cases as shown in Figure 1. In the tentative case, we can see a steep inward increase of neutral fraction and a very sharp transition from ionized regions to neutral regions.

Figure 2 shows the neutral hydrogen fraction at the center as a function of cloud size. We see that the central χ_{HI} varies abruptly at a certain critical size. Here, we define the critical radius (R_{crit}) at which the HI fraction at the center drops just below 0.1. In Figure 3, we plot the critical radii obtained from all the numerical results as a function of UV background intensity. Different symbols represent different mass of gas clouds. All the results can be remarkably well fitted by a simple formula which is a function of the cloud mass and the UV background intensity;

$$R_{crit} = 4.10 \text{ kpc} \left(\frac{M}{10^8 M_\odot} \right)^{2/5} I_{21}^{-1/5}. \quad (6)$$

Equivalently, the corresponding critical number density of the cloud is

$$n_{crit} = 1.40 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-3} \left(\frac{M}{10^8 M_\odot} \right)^{-1/5} I_{21}^{3/5}. \quad (7)$$

It is worth noting that the critical density is quite weakly dependent upon M . If the cloud is highly ionized and optically thin, the neutral fraction should be $\chi_{HI,0} = 0.15 n I_{21}^{-1}$ with neglecting collisional ionization. Then, we define the critical optical depth τ_{crit} at the Lyman edge as a measure such that the self-shielding becomes effective: $\tau_{crit} \equiv n_{crit} \chi_{HI,0} a_{\nu_L} R_{crit} = 2.4$, which turns out to be independent of not only M but also I_{21} . Hence, such a simple criterion of $\tau = 1$ as adopted by Efstathiou (1992) is found heuristically to be practical in order to assess the self-shielding for $\sim 10^4 \text{ K}$ clouds.

For clouds of different temperature, we have found that R_{crit} is scaled by $\alpha_B(T)^{1/5}$, and therefore $n_{crit} \propto \alpha_B(T)^{-3/5}$. Resultingly, τ_{crit} is scaled by $\alpha_A(T)/\alpha_B(T) [= (1 - \omega)^{-1}]$.

Both $\alpha_A(T)$ and $\alpha_B(T)$ are decreasing functions of temperature, but ω increases with temperature, so that τ_{crit} is larger for higher temperature. For instance, $\tau_{crit} = 2.7$ for $3 \times 10^4 \text{K}$. In an extreme case of infinite temperature (although unrealistic), the complete scattering ($\omega = 1$) leads to $\tau_{crit} = \infty$. In other words, clouds with any optical depth can be ionized due to photon diffusion.

4. Comparison with Analytic Estimates

Here, we try to analytically estimate the critical radius based upon the Strömgren approximation. (A similar estimate is found in Chiba & Nath 1994.) By equating the number per unit time of ionizing photons which enter from the surface to the number per unit time of photons which are absorbed in the cloud, we have $R_{HI} = [R^3 - 3\pi R^2 I_{\nu_{L,0}} / h n^2 (1 - \chi_{HI})^2 \alpha_B(T)]^{1/3}$, where the ionized regions are assumed to be sharply separated from the neutral core of radius R_{HI} . Then, the critical radius can be estimated by setting $R_{HI} = 0$: Resultantly we find $R_{crit} = 3.5 \text{kpc} (M/10^8 M_\odot)^{2/5} I_{21}^{-1/5}$, and the corresponding critical optical depth is $\tau_{crit} = 5.3$. Hence, the dependence of (6) upon the cloud mass and the UV intensity can be fundamentally understood by this argument. But, from a quantitative point of view, this approximation obviously leads to overestimation of photoionization effects as recognized by the critical optical depth. The overestimation comes from the assumption that all photons which enter into the cloud always cause ionization. In fact, some photons which especially have low incident angles do escape from the gas cloud without causing ionization. The diffusion process of ionizing photons tends to enhance this effect. Furthermore, a sharply edged neutral core, which is the basic assumption in the Strömgren approximation, is no longer realistic as shown above.

5. Discussion

The present results seem of a great significance on considering the biasing by photoionization against the formation of low-mass galaxies. It is shown in previous analyses that if a cloud is assumed to be optically thin, the photoionization suppresses the collapse of clouds with $M \lesssim 10^9 M_\odot$ (Umemura & Ikeuchi 1984) or circular velocities smaller than 30 km s^{-1} (Thoul & Weinberg 1996). In the present analysis, the permeation of UV radiation is characterized by a different criterion, and the critical density has turned out to be almost independent of the mass. Hence, the evolution of subgalactic clouds would not be determined solely by the cloud mass or the circular velocity. The maximum expansion radius of a top-hat density fluctuation is given by $R_{max} = 10.7 \text{ kpc} (M/10^8 M_\odot)^{1/3} [10/(1 + z_{max})] h_{50}^{-2/3}$ in an Einstein-de Sitter universe, where z_{max} is the maximum expansion epoch, h_{50} is the present Hubble constant in units of $50 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, and the baryon density parameter is

assumed to be 0.05. Comparing R_{max} with (6) and taking into account a possibility that the UV intensity might be significantly lower at $z > 5$, we can speculate that the self-shielding could be quite effective shortly after the maximum expansion stages. Thus, in order to assess the effects of photoionization properly, we should consider the frequency-dependent radiative transfer of diffuse UV photons.

The present results are also relevant to the formation of primordial hydrogen molecules which provide key physics for the formation of the first generation objects (Tegmak et al. 1997). UV radiation will naively suppress the formation of hydrogen molecules and thereby cooling (Haiman, Rees, & Loeb 1997). However, when the reionized gas is self-shielded in the course of evolution, H^- or H_2^+ ions could form efficiently due to the residual ionization which may lead to the effective production of hydrogen molecules (e.g. Kang & Shapiro 1992). Since the formation of primordial hydrogen molecules is a non-equilibrium process, the permeation of even a small portion of UV background photons may play an important role for subsequent molecule formation, and thereby the evolution of neutral core.

We are grateful to T. Nakamoto, and H. Susa for helpful discussion. This work was carried out at the Center for Computational Physics of University of Tsukuba. This work was supported in part by the Grants-in Aid of the Ministry of Education, Science, and Culture, 09874055.

REFERENCES

- Babul, A., & Rees, M. J. 1992, MNRAS, 255, 346
- Bajtlik, S. Duncan, R. C. & Ostriker, J. P. 1988, ApJ, 327, 570
- Bond, J. R., Szalay, A. S., & Silk, J. 1988, ApJ, 324, 627
- Chiba, M., & Nath, B. 1994, ApJ, 436, 618
- Cole, S., Aragon-Salamanca, A., Frenk, C. S., Navarro, J. F., & Zepf, S. E. 1994, MNRAS, 271, 744
- Dekel, A., & Rees, M. J. 1987, Nature, 326, 455
- Efstathiou, G. 1992, MNRAS, 256, 43
- Flannery, B. P., Roberge, W., & Rybicki, G. B. 1980, ApJ, 236, 598
- Giallongo, E., Cristiani, S., D’Odorico, S., Fontana, A., & Savaglio, S. 1996, ApJ, 466, 46
- Haiman, Z., Rees, M. J., & Loeb, A., 1997, ApJ, 476, 458
- Ikeuchi, S. 1986, Ap&SS, 118, 509
- Kang, H., & Shapiro, P. R. 1992, ApJ, 386, 432

- Kauffman, G., White, S. D., & Guideroni, B. 1993, MNRAS, 264, 201
- Maloney, P. 1993, ApJ, 414, 41
- Mihalas, D., & Mihalas, B. W. 1984, Foundation of Radiation Hydrodynamics (New York: Oxford Univ. Press), 366-369
- Nakamoto, T., Susa, H., & Umemura, M. 1997, in Proc. International Symposium on Supercomputing, New Horizon of Computational Science, in press
- Osterbrock, D. E. 1989, in Astrophysics of Gaseous Nebulae and Active Galactic Nuclei (University Science Books)
- Quinn, T., Katz, N., & Efstathiou, G. 1996, MNRAS, 278, L49
- Rees, M. J. 1986, MNRAS, 218, 25p
- Sherman, R.D. 1979, ApJ, 232, 1
- Steinmetz, M. 1995, in Proc. 17th Texas Symp., Relativistic Astrophysics, ed. H. Böhringer, G. E. Morfill, & J. E. Trümper (Ann. NY Acad. Sci., Vol. 759), 628
- Stone, J. M., Mihalas, D., & Norman, M. 1992, ApJS, 80, 819
- Tegmak, M., Silk, J., Rees, M. J., Blanchard, A., Abel, T., & Palla, F. 1997, ApJ, 474, 1
- Thoul, A. A., & Weinberg, D. H. 1996, ApJ, 465, 608
- Umemura, M., & Ikeuchi, S. 1984, Prog. Theor. Phys., 72, 47
- Umemura, M., & Ikeuchi, S. 1985, ApJ, 299, 583
- White, S. D. M., & Frenk, C. S. 1991, ApJ, 379, 52

Fig. 1.— The ionization structure is shown by the neutral hydrogen fraction χ_{HI} as a function of radii in units of the cloud radius (*thick lines*). Here, $M = 10^8 M_\odot$ and $I_{21} = 1$ are assumed. It is seen that the low ionization regions rapidly grows due to self-shielding when the radius is smaller than 4.4kpc. It is a characteristic feature that the boundary between the neutral core and the ionized envelop is not clear in contrast with the Strömgren sphere. This is because a hard power-law type ($n = -1$) of UV background radiation causes appreciable ionization even in deeper regions by high frequency photons far above the Lyman limit. Consequently, a small ionization fraction is left in the neutral core. Comparatively, if an artificial softer spectrum of UV is assumed, a very narrow transition region appears and the ionization in the neutral core is negligible (*thin lines*).

Fig. 2.— The central neutral hydrogen fraction is shown against the cloud radius for a wide variety of cloud mass. $I_{21} = 1$ is assumed here. It is shown that the self-shielding is prominent below a critical radius (which is defined by the condition that $\chi_{HI} = 0.1$ in the present paper).

Fig. 3.— The critical radii are plotted as a function of UV background intensity. Different symbols represent different mass of gas clouds. The straight lines are the fitting formula (see the text).





